UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,476	06/14/2006	Dong Hoi Kim	56587.23	7029	
	7590 08/06/200 KWELL SANDERS I	EXAMINER			
190 Carondelet Plaza Suite 600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105			OWYANG, MICHELLE N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2168	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto-sl@huschblackwell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/596,476	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHELLE OWYANG	2168	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 periods:) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the opeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Act no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SI Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	ion, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In X MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO e petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee tatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	months and the maining date of the initial rejection, even it timely med,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tiangle. 	eof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for	n and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See at	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC	-
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before o because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficien was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the st REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	atus of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NC See Continuation Sheet.	T place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/013. ☐ Other:	08) Paper No(s)
Л	Kuen S Lu/
	rimary Examiner, Art Unit 2156

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 7/22/2009 have been fully considered, the rejection made under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of the claim amendment; however, the arguments on the rejections made under 35USC 103 are not persuasive, thus the rejections made under 35USC 103 remained.

Applicant argued that "neither Chandrasekar nor Yoo nor Piscitello nor their combination disclose or teach all the elements and limitations of claim 21", in page 20 of remarks. However, Chandrasekar discloses key phrases are associated with concepts, and both are stored in a database; a concept may be a topic; and key phrases for the concept are phrases of one or more word associated with the concept, see [0013], [0035], [0057]. Chandrasekar also discloses searching for concepts associated with the inputted key phrase, see [0013], [0039]; and updating the query log for future use on determining popularity of the key phrases and concepts based on a number of times a query phrase appears in the query log, see [0014], [0017], [0058]. Based on the broadest reasonable interpretation, it would have been reasonable for one ordinary skilled in the art to interpret that Chandrasekar discloses a category database, keyword database, searching and updating those databases since concept can be interpreted as category and key phrases can be interpreted as keywords. Chandrasekar does not explicitly disclose associating a representative keyword included in a first keyword group associated with a first representative category with a second keyword group associated with a second representative category to reflect current societal interest, and providing user with information showing the popularity of keywords related to the search request. However, Yoo discloses providing user with information showing the popularity of words related to search request and associated with current societal interest utilizing buzz values determination and statistically measurements, see Col. 5, lines 1-5, Col. 14, lines 6-9; Fig 9-14; also Piscitello discloses search terms are associated with multiple categories, and the associated category are related to the current societal interest, e.g. Stanford is associated with the categories of regional, society, and the news on Stanford is presented top of the page, see Col. 4, lines 16-23, Fig 2. Since Chandrasekar, Yoo and Piscitello are all directed to provide relevant information to the user, and all of them are from the same field of endeavor, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skilled in the art to modify and combine their teachings in order to provide updated relevant search results to the user.

Thus, it is believed that all claimed features have been properly addressed, see Examiner's communication mailed on 6/4/2009 for detail.